

Here be Dragons: Mesowear and Tooth Enamel Isotopes of the Classic Chinese "Hipparion" Faunas from Baode, Shanxi Province, China

Author(s): Jussi T. Eronen, Anu Kaakinen, Li-Ping Liu, Benjamin H. Passey, Hui Tang& Zhao-Qun Zhang Source: Annales Zoologici Fennici, 51():227-455. Published By: Finnish Zoological and Botanical Publishing Board DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5735/086.051.0222</u> URL: <u>http://www.bioone.org/doi/full/10.5735/086.051.0222</u>

BioOne (www.bioone.org) is a nonprofit, online aggregation of core research in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. BioOne provides a sustainable online platform for over 170 journals and books published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Web site, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/page/</u><u>terms_of_use</u>.

Usage of BioOne content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Here be Dragons: Mesowear and tooth enamel isotopes of the classic Chinese "Hipparion" faunas from Baode, Shanxi Province, China

Jussi T. Eronen^{1,*}, Anu Kaakinen¹, Li-Ping Liu², Benjamin H. Passey³, Hui Tang¹ & Zhao-Qun Zhang²

- ¹⁾ Department of Geosciences and Geography, P.O. Box 64, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland (corresponding author's e-mail: jussi.t.eronen@helsinki.fi)
- ²⁾ Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of Science, 100044 Beijing
- ³⁾ Johns Hopkins University, Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 3400 N Charles St, Baltimore MD 21218, USA

Received 25 Oct. 2013, final version received 20 Jan. 2014, accepted 20 Jan. 2014

Eronen, J. T., Kaakinen, A, Liu, L. P., Passey, B. H., Tang, H. & Zhang, Z. Q. 2014: Here be Dragons: Mesowear and tooth enamel isotopes of the classic Chinese "Hipparion" faunas from Baode, Shanxi Province, China. — *Ann. Zool. Fennici* 51: 227–244.

In this study, we synthesize available data from isotopes, sedimentology and climate modelling together with an extensive mesowear analysis of North Chinese "Hipparion" faunas of Baode. We build on previous research and enlarge the range of analysed localities. Our results show that climate during accumulation of the older localities (7.5 Ma) was more humid than that of the youngest locality (5.7 Ma), while the intermediate localities (~6.5 Ma) accumulated under variable climatic conditions. Our results generally confirm those of previous studies, but highlight temporal and spatial variation within localities. We suggest that this is caused by variation in monsoon strength as evidenced by various proxy records.

Introduction

We want to honour Mikael Fortelius by presenting a review and a summary of the data gathered during our field seasons in the Baode area and in museum collections in Uppsala, Sweden. Fossil mammals from northern China have been known for more than one hundred years (*see* e.g. Schlosser 1903). Much of the material from the classic localities of the Baode area were collected during the extended field campaigns in the 1920s (e.g. Andersson 1923, Zdansky 1923) and deposited in the collections of Uppsala University in Sweden. Because of this Swedish connection, Björn Kurtén, Mikael Fortelius' supervisor, studied the Chinese fossil mammal collections during his M.Sc. and Ph.D. studies (Kurtén 1952, 1953). Kurtén especially elaborated the initial remark of Schlosser (1903) that the Hipparion faunas were separated into northern and southern components with distinct species.

When in 1952 Kurtén published his work on the Chinese Hipparion faunas, he suggested that they comprise three distinct groups: (1) The "gaudryi" faunas, dominated by lower-crowned taxa such as *Gazella gaudryi*, *Honanotherium*, 228



Fig. 1. Map indicating the localities analysed in this study. The small map of China in the upper left corner shows the position of Baode in relation to Loess Plateau (grey shading) and Tibetan Plateau (hatched pattern). The oldest localities are indicated by circles, intermediate localities by crosses, and youngest localities by diamonds.

Dicerorhinus, and cervids, suggesting forest or closed environments, (2) the "dorcadoides" faunas, dominated by higher-crowned taxa such as G. dorcadoides, Chilotherium, Samotherium, Urmiatherium and Plesiaddax, suggesting a more open steppe environment, and (3) mixed localities that fall somewhere between the two previous faunas. According to Kurtén, the "gaudryi" faunas are situated primarily in the southeast part of the Chinese Loess Plateau, while the "dorcadoides" faunas are primarily in the northwest part of the Loess Plateau. The mixed localities are situated somewhere between these two. Kurtén noted that there are three different possibilities for separation of these faunas (1) temporal separation (2) spatial separation, and (3) both spatial and temporal separation. Kurtén favoured the second, a geographic separation of the localities reflecting environmental zonation, and he regarded all of the Baodean localities as contemporary (e.g. Kurtén 1952, 1985). The idea of two contemporary Hipparion

faunas was widely accepted in China until the discovery of two distinct Hipparion faunas in Lantian, Shaanxi Province (Liu *et al.* 1978) where the faunas were found in stratigraphic superposition. This brought into the question the existence of concurrent Hipparion faunas in the Late Miocene of China. Li *et al.* (1984) suggested that Hipparion faunas in China can be divided into two different ages, Bahean and Baodean, correlative to European Vallesian and Turolian stages, respectively, but Qiu and Qiu (1995) did not accepted the Bahean and merged it into Baodean.

When Mikael Fortelius started his work in China in the mid-1990s, the first field campaigns concentrated on the Lantian area, near the city of Xi'an. The stratigraphic work accomplished during the Lantian project established a yardstick for late Miocene Chinese land mammals and showed the Bahe Hipparion fauna to be distinct from and predate the Baode Hipparion faunas (e.g. Zhang et al. 2002, 2013b, Kaakinen & Lunkka 2003, Kaakinen 2005). In the early 2000s, the time was mature for returning to the puzzle that was left unsolved by Björn Kurtén, namely the question of spatial-temporal dynamics of the classic Chinese localities of Baode. Following a brief reconnaissance survey in 2001, Fortelius and colleagues mounted a field campaign in 2004 in Baode to investigate the geology and stratigraphy in the area. Using the map published by Zdansky (1923), several of the old Baode localities were relocated in the field and it became possible to place the rich and well-studied Uppsala collection precisely into the composite stratigraphy (see Kaakinen et al. 2013 for review).

Fossil localities, stratigraphy and depositional environments

Baode is a town in northern Shanxi Province that lies in the northeastern Chinese Loess Plateau west of the Luliang Mountains and east of the Yellow River (Fig. 1). Neogene sediments overlying the Paleozoic basement are grouped into two formations, Baode and Jingle, draped by Quaternary loess-paleosol deposits. Zhu *et al.* (2008) derived a basal age of 7.23 Ma for the Baode Formation and 5.23 Ma for the boundary between the Baode and Jingle Formations. Both formations are commonly referred to as the late Neogene Red Clay sediments, important deposits of eolian origin underlying the Quaternary loess-paleosol sequence in the Chinese Loess Plateau (e.g. Lu *et al.* 2001, Guo *et al.* 2002). The Pliocene Jingle Formation is composed exclusively of fine grain sizes and its colour is deeper red, while the underlying Baode Formation shows more variable lithologies.

The Baode Formation is conglomeratic towards the base: the basal conglomerate with a clast-supported fabric of subrounded pebbles and cobbles, poorly sorted matrix and relatively high matrix-to-clasts ratio relates to the inception of basin filling in the Baode as recorded also, e.g., in Luzigou (Zhu et al. 2008, Pan et al. 2011). The remaining sequence consists of red-brown clays and silts punctuated by calcrete horizons that occur at a relatively regular basis every 1-3meters. This cyclic calcrete occurrence might be indicative of oscillations in the availability of water via groundwater and/or surface runoff. The infrequent sheet conglomerate beds are present throughout the formation but their occurrence does not seem to have a consistent cyclic pattern. The conglomerates are interpreted as being deposited by poorly confined, low-sinuosity, local fluvial systems on the floodplain, sourced from the north and east (Kaakinen et al. 2013). Overall, the deposits studied can be regarded as lateral equivalents of the Baode lake sediments which Pan et al. (2011) observed in Luzigou, although in our research area true lake facies are not present, and only a few horizons with parallel laminations resulting from deposition in standing water are observed. A grain size distribution analysis (Sukselainen 2008) reveals that an eolian mode of deposition dominates over fluvial during most of the Baode Formation time.

All the known fossiliferous sites are from the Baode Formation. During our field research, we were able to find several of Zdansky's localities (Localities 30, 31, 43, 44, 49, 108) and place them in a stratigraphical framework, along with several new fossil mines currently being quarried in the area (e.g. YJG02, YJG03 and JJG02) (*see* Fig. 1). The fossil occurrences are located in three general levels which were dated by paleo-

magnetic reversal stratigraphy (Kaakinen *et al.* 2013). The oldest fossil level is established in the lower part of the sequence above the thick basal conglomerate with an age of 7 Ma. The intermediate fossil level (6.5 Ma) is established in the middle of the succession while the youngest (5.7 Ma) is placed high in the Baode Formation. Lithological data do not show any distinguishable differences between the levels, apart from the general upward fining in the mean grain sizes.

Recently published papers (e.g. Passey et al. 2009. Kaakinen et al. 2013) show that the Baode area is situated near the biome boundary between forest and steppe during the Late Miocene (11-5 Ma). Passey et al. (2009) described the possible mechanisms of spatial dynamics for the biome boundary, with retreat and advance of steppe following the strength of the East Asian Summer monsoon, Kaakinen et al. (2013) showed that the oldest locality (locality 49) is much more humid than the youngest one (locality 30). Here we present the full analysis of the detailed mesowear investigation of the classic Chinese Hipparion localities from the Baode area together with tooth enamel isotope results. We include all of the localities placed in the stratigraphic context (see Kaakinen et al. 2013) and discuss the mesowear and enamel isotope results in the context of monsoon dynamics and climate modelling (Tang 2013).

Material

Hypsodonty, mesowear, and stable isotopes were studied on specimens from the Lagrelius Collection at the Museum of Evolution in Uppsala, Sweden. Hypsodonty and mesowear scorings were performed on molar teeth of herbivorous fossil mammals by Jussi T. Eronen, Liu Liping, and Mikael Fortelius in spring 2005. Teeth were sampled for stable isotope analysis in June 2005 by Benjamin H. Passey and Jussi T. Eronen.

Methods

The mesowear analysis, mean hypsodonty, as well as other ecometric analyses were performed by Jussi T. Eronen, and the isotope analysis was performed by Benjamin H. Passey. The mesowear scoring follows the guidelines of Fortelius and Solounias (2000), where the detailed procedure of mesowear scoring is described. In addition to the fossil data, we used the present-day mammal dataset from Fortelius and Solounias (2000) to analyse the dietary preferences of fossil mammals. We used Ward hierarchical clustering (using statistical program JMP 9.0) to obtain the dietary clusters. We performed the clustering using different groupings. High relief and percentage of rounded cusps were the characters/ groupings that best differentiate the material. Other cluster analyses we performed with different characters/groupings gave similar results (not shown here). In addition to mesowear analysis, we calculated the mean hypsodonty for each species based on the specimens, as well as locality specific mean ordinated hypsodonty (HYP) from the data provided in the NOW-database (http://www.helsinki.fi/science/now), following the method of Fortelius et al. (2002). We also calculated mean estimated precipitation (MAP) based on the methods of Eronen et al. (2010) and Liu et al. (2012).

The isotope analysis was performed at the University of Utah following the methods outlined in Passey *et al.* (2009). Note that some of the localities have isotope results but no mesowear data, and vice versa, and it often was not feasible to perform both mesowear analysis and stable isotope analysis on the same tooth specimens. Here we concentrate on the mesowear results, and the localities of interest are selected on that basis. Some of the isotope data was previously reported (Passey 2007, Passey *et al.* 2007, Passey *et al.* 2009 and Kaakinen *et al.* 2013). The sedimentological data are from Kaakinen *et al.* 2013, where they are discussed in detail.

Results

The sample sizes for mesowear scoring were in some cases very low (*see* Table 1). Therefore, we aggregated our data to the genus level. We note that the aggregation of species to genera might mask some of the signal, especially for some genera that have much within-genus variation in their ecological preferences. The most prominent genus in this regard is *Gazella*. Even though all our localities are considered "mixed" in the classic analysis of Kurtén (1952), the individual localities were dominated by one species of *Gazella* (*dorcadoides*, *gaudryi*, or *paotehense*), and locality-specific mesowear results, therefore, represent the dominant group. For other genera, the within-genus ecological preferences are much narrower than for *Gazella*.

Even at the genus level, some locality/genus combinations had low sample sizes and should therefore be treated as suggestive. For genera, we had enough material to analyse Hipparion, Gazella, Urmiatherium, Paleotragus, Cervavitus and Chilotherium (for sample sizes, n, see Table 1, while for the present-day taxa used in the analysis see Table 2). Based on mesowear analysis (Fig. 2), Hipparions from locality 30 (n = 17) grouped together with grazers, locality 49 (n = 3) and 43 (n = 12) had more mixed diet than hipparions from locality 30. Hipparions from locality 31 (n = 2) clustered close to brachydont browsers although the sample size was low. Hipparions from locality 44 (n = 6) were grouped together with Indian and Sumatran rhinos suggesting that they are more browse-dominated than hipparions from other localities.

Gazelles from locality 30 (n = 26) grouped close to hipparions from localities 49 and 43, but with more brachydont taxa (mixed feeders; *Capra ibex, Giraffa camelopardis, Capreolus capreolus, Antilocapra americana* and *Antidorcas marsupialis*). Gazelles from localities 108 (n = 2) and 44 (n = 2) grouped together with brachydont browsers. Gazelles from locality 49 (n = 10) were similar to brachydont browsers. *Urmiatherium* from locality 30 (n = 31) grouped with grazers, while *Urmiatherium* from 49 (n = 5) grouped with *Dendrohyrax dorsalis* (a browser) and *Urmiatherium* from locality 108 (n = 2) grouped with brachydont browsers.

Paleotragus from locality 49 (n = 3) grouped with mesodont mixed feeders. *Paleotragus* from locality 43 (n = 3) grouped with brachydont browsers. *Paleotragus* from locality 108 (n = 8) grouped close to serow (mixed hypsodont). *Paleotragus* from locality 30 (n = 2)was grouped with mixed feeders (seasonal diet change). *Cervavitus* from locality 49 (n = 2)

= number of specimen
: n=
localities
region
e Baode
r the
ē
data
scoring
esowear
Ř
The
-
Table [.]

Genus-locality	Q	ч					Mesowear	scoring				
			High	-	Low		Shar	Q	Bound	ed	Blunt	
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Chilotherium-30	YOUNG Chi-30	9	9	100		0	-	16	£	83		0
Gazella-30	YOUNG Gaz-30	26	26	100		0	18	69	8	30		0
Hipparion-30	YOUNG_Hip-30	17	ŧ	64	9	35	9	35	11	64		0
Palaeotragus-30	YOUNG_Pal-30	2	0	100		0	-	50	-	50		0
Samotherium-30	YOUNG_Sam-30	17	15	88	0	1	÷	Ŋ	16	94		0
Sinotragus-30	YOUNG Sin-30	10	ი	06	-	10	7	70	ო	30		0
Tragoreas-30	YOUNG_Tra-30	2	0	100		0		0	0	100		0
Urmiatherium-30	YOUNG_Urm-30	31	26	83	5	16	80	25	23	74		0
Chilotherium-31	OLD_Chi-31	ო	0	66	÷	33		0	ო	100		0
Hipparion-31	OLD_Hip-31	N	2	100		0		0	0	100		0
Sinotherium-31	OLD_St-31	N		0	2	100	2	100		0		0
Tragoreas-31	OLD_Tra-31	2	0	100		0	2	100		0		0
Chilotherium-43	OLD_Chi-43	ß	4	80	۲	20	÷	20	4	80		0
Gazella-43	OLD_Gaz-43	ო		0	ო	100	÷	33		0	0	66
Hipparion-43	OLD_Hip-43	12	12	100		0	8	66	4	33		0
Palaeotragus-43	OLD_Pal-43	ო	ო	100		0		0	ო	100		0
Samotherium-43	OLD_Sam-43	N	-	50	-	50		0	2	100		0
Urmiatherium-43	OLD_Urm-43	N	-	50	÷	50		0	0	100		0
Cervavitus-44	INTERMEDIATE_Cer-44	2	Ŋ	100		0	0	40	ო	60		0
Gazella-44	INTERMEDIATE_Gaz-44	N	0	100		0	0	100		0		0
Hipparion-44	INTERMEDIATE_Hip-44	9	9	100		0	5	83	÷	16		0
Acerorhinus-49	OLD_Ac-49	N	0	100		0	0	100		0		0
Cervavitus-49	OLD_Cer-49	N	0	100		0	-	50	-	50		0
Gazella-49	OLD_Gaz-49	10	10	100		0	0	06	÷	10		0
Honanotherium-49	OLD_Hon-49	N	2	100		0		0	0	100		0
Palaeotragus-49	OLD_Pal-49	ო	e	100		0	-	33	0	99		0
Urmiatherium-49	OLD_Urm-49	ß	4	80	۲	20	2	40	ო	60		0
Hipparion-49	OLD_Hip-49	ო	ო	100		0	0	66	÷	33		0
Chilotherium-51	Chi-51	ო	ო	100		0	÷	33	0	99		0
Gazella-52	Gaz-52	4	4	100		0	4	100		0		0
Hipparion-52	Hip-52	ო	ო	100		0	ო	100		0		0
Hipparion-57	Hip-57	N		0	0	100		0		0	N	100
											cont	inued

Continued.	
÷	
Table	

Genus-locality	Q	ч					Mesowear	scoring				
			High		Low		Shar	d	Round	ed	Blunt	
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Cervavitus-58	Cer-58	N	0	100		0		0	N	100		0
Hipparion-70	Hip-70	6	ი	100		0	ო	33	9	99		0
Cervavitus-71	Cer-71	ß	ъ	100		0	ო	60	2	40		0
Cervavitus-73	Cer-73	16	16	100		0	10	62	9	37		0
Gazella-73	Gaz-73	7	7	100		0	0	28	S	71		0
Hipparion-73	Hip-73	ß	ŋ	100		0	0	40	ღ	60		0
Hipparion-77	Hip-77	N	N	100		0	÷	50	-	50		0
Cervavitus-78	Cer-78	ო	ო	100		0	-	33	0	99		0
Gazella-78	Gaz-78	N	N	100		0	2	100		0		0
Eostyloceros blainvillei-81	Eos-81	0	N	100		0		0	N	100		0
Gazella-108	INTERMEDIATE_Gaz-108	N	N	100		0	2	100		0		0
Palaeotragus-108	INTERMEDIATE_Pal-108	8	80	100		0	ო	37	5	62		0
Urmiatherium-108	INTERMEDIATE_Urm-108	2	0	100		0		0	0	100		0
Gazella-109	Gaz-109	12	12	100		0	10	83	0	16		0
Palaeotragus-109	Pal-109	ო	ო	100		0	÷	33	0	66		0
Palaeotragus-110	Pal-110	N	0	100		0		0	0	100		0
Tragoreas-110	Tra-110	4	4	100		0	ო	75	-	25		0
Gazella-114	Gaz-114	16	15	93	÷	9	0	56	9	37	-	9
Hipparion-114	Hip-114	N	0	100		0	÷	50	-	50		0
Palaeotragus-114	Pal-114	N	0	100		0	-	50	-	50		0
Plesiaddax-114	Ples-114	10	10	100		0	0	20	8	80		0
Samotherium-115	Sam-115	N	N	100		0	-	50	-	50		0
Gazella-116	Gaz-116	2	N	100		0	-	50	-	50		0
Palaeotragus-116	Pal-116	4	4	100		0	-	25	ი	75		0
Samotherium-116	Sam-116	ო	ი	100		0		0	e	100		0
Cervavitus-Yushe	Cer-Yushe	7	9	85	-	14	4	57	С	42		0

grouped with mesodont mixed feeders (seasonal diet change), while *Cervavitus* from locality 44 (n = 5) grouped with hypsodont mixed feeders/brachydont browsers. *Chilotherium* from locality 43 (n = 5) grouped with hypsodont grazers, while *Chilotherium* from locality 30 (n = 6) grouped with browsers.

In addition to individual genera, we also analysed the mesowear within and between localities. Due to small sample sizes and stratigraphic restrictions (*see* Kaakinen *et al.* 2013), we concentrate here on the following localities that we can reliably place in chronologic order: 30, 31, 43, 44, 49 and 108. Here we used the provisional separation of localities into three groups: Old (7 Ma), Intermediate (6.5 Ma), and Young (5.7 Ma), based on Kaakinen *et al.* (2013). We also provide MAP estimates for each locality based on calculations using the methods of Eronen et al. (2010) and Liu et al. (2012). The localities between the oldest (49) and youngest (30) represented the most humid and arid climates, respectively (Table 3 and Fig. 2). The oldest locality (49) had the highest precipitation estimate, even among the old localities. The taxa at locality 49 had browserdominated diets, with some component of mixed feeding, possibly seasonal changes. The other two old localities, 43 and 31, showed similar dietary adaptations among the taxa, but with some possible grazers (e.g. Chilotherium), and lower precipitation estimates. The intermediate localities, 108 and 44, had similar estimated precipitation ranges as the old localities (43 and 31), but the taxa present at these localities showed clear dominance

Table 2. The acronyms used in Fig. 2 for present-day species in the mesowear analysis. Based on Fortelius and Solounias 2000. Species names set in all caps = browsers, genus set in all caps species in lower case = mixed-feeders, species names set in lower case = grazers.

Species	ID	Species	ID
DENDROHYRAX ARBOREUS	DA	TRAGELAPHUS angasi	TA
DENDROHYRAX DORSALIS	DD	TRAGELAPHUS imberbis	TI
CEPHALOPHUS DORSALIS	DR	TETRACERUS quadricornis	tq
HETEROHYRAX BRUCEI	HB	BOSELAPHUS tragocamelus	Tr
HYAEMOSCHUS AQUATICUS	HY	TRAGELAPHUS STREPSICEROS	TT
CEPHALOPHUS NATALENSIS	NA	ALCES ALCES	AA
CEPHALOPHUS NIGER	NG	alcelaphus buselaphus	ab
CEPHALOPHUS NIGRIFRONS	NI	bison bison	bb
PROCAVIA capensis	Pc	CARPICORNIS sumatrensis	Ca
CEPHALOPHUS SILVICULTOR	SL	CERVUS canadanensis	Cc
alcelaphus lichtensteinii	al	ceratotherium simum	CS
ANTILOPCAPRA AMERICANA	AM	connochaetes taurinus	ct
AXIS porcinus	ар	DICEROS BIRCORNIS	DB
AXIS axis	ax	damaliscus lunatus	dl
BOOCERCUS EURYCEROS	BE	DICERORHINUS SUMATRENSIS	DS
BUDORCAS taxicolor	BT	equus burchelli	eb
CERVUS duvauceli	cd	equus grevyi	eg
CAPRA ibex	Ci	GIRAFFA CAMELOPARDIS	GC
CAMELUS dromedarius	CL	GAZELLA granti	Gg
CERVUS unicolor	Cu	GAZELLA thomsonii	Gt
AMMODORCAS CLARKEI	EI	hippotragus equinus	he
LAMA glama	Lg	hippotragus niger	hn
LAMA vicugna	Lv	kobus ellipsiprymnus	ke
LITOCRANIUS WALLERI	LW	AEPYCEROS melampus	Me
ANTIDORCAS marsupialis	Ma	ODOCOILEUS HEMIONUS	OH
OVIS canadensis	Oc	OKAPI JOHNSONII	OJ
CAPREOLUS CAPREOLUS	OL	OVIBOS moschatus	Om
OUREBIA ourebi	00	ODOCOILEUS VIRGIANUS	OV
REDUNCA fulvorufula	rf	redunca redunca	rr
RHINOCEROS unicornis	Ru	RHINOCERUS SONDAICUS	RS
SYNCERUS caffer	SC	TAUROTRAGUS oryx	То
SAIGA tatarica	St	TRAGELAPHUS sciptus	Ts



Fig. 2. Mesowear grouping based on hierarchical clustering. The abbreviations used are shown in Table 1 (for fossil taxa) and Table 2 (for extant taxa). For the extant species, capitalized acronym indicates browsers, lower case acronym indicates grazer, and mixed indicates mixed diet. For fossils the acronym indicates temporal sequence (old, intermediate or young locality), locality number and genus acronym. The colours indicate preliminary grouping to similar diets based on mesowear signal.

Table 3. The locality specific sodonty score and description	environm of meso	tental data for the analysed locali wear signal in each locality.	ties. In additio	on to the mean annual precipitation estimates (MAP), we provide also mean hyp-
Group	Locality	MAP estimates	Mean HYP	Mesowear description
Old (about 7 Ma)	49	1426 mm (Eronen <i>et al.</i> 2010), 748 mm (Liu <i>et al.</i> 2012)	1.53	Hipparions group with mixed feeders. <i>Paleotragus</i> groups with mesodont mixed feeders. <i>Cervavitus</i> groups with mesodont mixed feeders (seasonal diet change). Gazellas group with brachydont browsers. <i>Acerorhinus</i> groups with brachydont browsers. <i>Urmiatherium</i> groups with brachydont browsers.
Old (about 7 Ma)	43	1405 mm (Eronen <i>et al.</i> 2010), 578 mm (Liu <i>et al.</i> 2012)	1.81	Hipparions group with mixed feeders, <i>Chilotherium</i> groups with hypsodont orazers. <i>Paleotracus</i> groups with brachvdont browsers
Old (about 7 Ma)	31	681 mm (Eronen <i>et al.</i> 2010), 345 mm (Liu <i>et al.</i> 2012)	2.09	Tragoreas is close to brachydont browsers. <i>Hipparion</i> is close to brachydont browsers.
Intermediate (around 6.5 Ma)	108	681 mm (Eronen <i>et al.</i> 2010), 207 mm (Liu <i>et al</i> . 2012)	1.9	Paleotrague groups close to serow (mixed hypsodont). Gazelle groups with Prachudont honwears. I traitathadium from 108 croups with brackforth browsers
Intermediate (around 6.5 Ma)	44	1426 mm (Eronen <i>et al.</i> 2010),	1.86	<i>Cervary</i> and provided a communication and the edge of proper with provided a comparison of the compar
Young (about 5.7 Ma)	30	681 mm (Eronen <i>et al.</i> 2010),	2.11	<i>Childtherium</i> group with himles. Gazerias group with brachydon browsers. <i>Childtherium</i> groups with browsers. <i>Tragoreas</i> groups with browsers. <i>Gazella</i>
		317 mm (Liu <i>et al.</i> 2012)		dorcadoides is close to mixed feeder brachydonts, while <i>G.paothense</i> is close to brachydont browsers. <i>Paleotradus</i> is grouped with mixed feeders
				(seasonal diet change), <i>Sinotragus</i> is close to mesodont browsers.
				<i>Samotherium</i> groups with grazers. Hipparions group with grazers, as well as <i>Urmiatherium</i> .

ł Ó 1111 ÷ 4 З 1 3 ÷ 3 9 £ 4 F ¢ of browsers, with less mixed feeding or grazing taxa. Even hipparions from locality 44 group with Indian and Sumatran rhinos. The young locality (30) had lowest precipitation estimates, and the taxa were more dominated by mixed feeders and even "pure" grazers.

Isotopes

The carbon isotope results (Table 4 and Fig. 3) showed a general correspondence between diet

and hypsodonty, with brachydont taxa seldom eating C4 vegetation (except *Paleotragus* at locality 30), and mesodont and hypsodont taxa showing mixed C3/C4 diets (Fig. 3). There was also a general correspondence between stable isotopes and mesowear, with species that are exclusively C3 feeders at all localities having exclusively high cusp relief, and mixed C3/C4 feeders showing variable cusp relief (Fig. 3). The isotope results were resolved at the species level for gazelles. Noteworthy is the slight difference between *G. dorcadoides* and *G. paotehense* at

Table 4. The enamel carbon isotope re	results from Baode fossi	ls
---------------------------------------	--------------------------	----

Sample ID	Taxon	Locality	Tooth	δ^{13} C (PDB)	%C4
CN2004-BD-16	Capra or Ovis (domestic)	recent	cheek	-7.9	21
CN2004-BD-164-p3	Capra or Ovis (domestic)	recent	р3	-10.6	0
CN2004-BD-13-p3	Sus (domestic)	recent	p3	-5.6	40
CN2004-BD-163-m1	Sus (domestic)	recent	m1	-4.7	48
CN2004-BD-165-M2	Sus (domestic)	recent	M2	-5.4	42
M11320	Gazella sp. paotehensis	30	lm3	-11.8	0
M11344	Gazella sp. paotehensis	30	rm3	-9.4	0
M11345	Gazella sp. paotehensis	30	lm3	-8.5	1
M11487	Gazella cf. Dorcadoides	30	rm3	-8.9	0
M11490	Gazella cf. Dorcadoides	30	IM3	-7.6	9
M11493	Gazella cf. Dorcadoides	30	rP4	-7.9	6
M11502	Gazella cf. Dorcadoides	30	IM3	-6.3	19
M11813	Tragoreas lagrelii	30	m3	-7.2	11
M11815	Tragoreas lagrelii	30	m3	-8.4	1
M11817	Tragoreas anderssoni	30	rm3	-8.5	1
M11822	Tragoreas anderssoni	30	rm2	-7.1	12
M11823	Tragoreas anderssoni	30	lm3	-7.9	6
M11845	Tragoreas sp.	30	IM2	-10.1	0
M10043	Urmiatherium intermedium	30	rM3	-8.7	0
M10631	Urmiatherium intermedium	30	rM3	-6.6	17
M10633	Urmiatherium intermedium	30	rМЗ	-7.8	7
M10640	Urmiatherium intermedium	30	IM3	-5.6	25
M10647	Urmiatherium intermedium	30	IM3	-7.4	10
M10648	Urmiatherium intermedium	30	rМЗ	-7.1	12
M9813	Pliocervid	30	rМЗ	-8.7	0
M9820	Pliocervid	30	lm3	-10.4	0
M9824	Pliocervid	30	rm3	-9.2	0
M9826	Pliocervid	30	rm3	-9.6	0
M9828	Pliocervid	30	rm3	-10.8	0
M268	Hipparion dermatorhinum	30	rP2	-8.6	0
M303	Hipparion fossatum	30	IM3	-4.6	34
M304	Hipparion fossatum	30	IP2	-7.9	6
M3822	Hipparion fossatum	30	lm3	-7.8	7
L30 Hipp-1	Hipparion kreugeri	30	cheek	-10.1	0
L30 Hipp-5	Hipparion kreugeri	30	cheek	-10.3	0
L30 Hipp-6	Hipparion kreugeri	30	cheek	-7.5	9
L30Hipp5.p	Hipparion kreugeri	30	cheek	-10.2	0
M343	Hipparion platyodus	30	lm3	-6.8	15
L30 Hipp-3	Hipparion ptychodus	30	cheek	-8.3	2
	• •			(continued

Sample ID	Taxon	Locality	Tooth	$\delta^{_{13}}\text{C}$ (PDB)	%C4
L30 Hipp-2	Hipparion richthofeni	30	cheek	-8.7	0
L30 Hipp-4	Hipparion richthofeni	30	cheek	-7.4	10
M274	Hipparion richthofeni	30	rp2	-7.9	6
M276	Hipparion richthofeni	30	lm1	-9.7	0
M7989	Hipparion richthofeni	30	lm3	-7.4	10
L30 Paleotragus	Paleotragus sp.	30	P3 or P4	-7.7	8
M11014	Paleotragus microdon	30	rM3	-6.9	14
M11017-frag	Paleotragus sp. microdon	30	lm3	-9.0	0
М11017-р	Paleotragus sp. microdon	30	lm3	-8.8	0
M11033	Paleotragus microdon	30	rM3	-8.1	4
M10790	Samotherium sp. 1	30	rm3	-7.3	11
M10791	Samotherium sp. 1	30	lm3	-7.7	7
M10792	Samotherium sinense	30	M1 or M2	-6.6	17
M10793	Samotherium sinense	30	M1 or M2	-6.8	15
M10794	Samotherium sp. 1	30	rM1	-8.8	0
M1308	Sinotragus wimani	30	IM3	-8.2	3
M1828	Sinotragus wimani	30	IM3	-8.3	3
M7420	Chilotherium sp. cf. anderssoni	30	CHEEK	-10.2	0
M7420	Chilotherium sp. cf. anderssoni	30	CHEEK	_9 1	0
M7422	Chilotherium sp. cf. anderssoni	30	CHEEK	_10.0	0
M7423	Chilotherium sp. cf. anderssoni	30	CHEEK	_9.0	0
M7424	Chilotherium sp. cf. anderssoni	30	CHEEK	-3.0	10
M7421	Chilotherium sp. cf. anderssoni	30	CHEEK	-7.4	10
M11702	Tragoroas lagrolii	30	UNEEK	-9.1	10
M11704	Tragorozo logrolii	43	lm2	-7.4	10
M11041		43	1110	-0.5	0
IVI I 104 I		43	ip3	-9.1	0
IVI I 104 I M11702	Tragoreas pareosinensis	43	ip3	-9.7	0
M10500	Tragoreas lagrelli	43	1113	-7.0	0
M10529		43	111/13	-5.7	25
M10558	Urmiatherium intermedium	43	rm3	-7.9	6
M10570	Urmiatherium intermedium	43	rm3	-7.4	10
M10679		43	IVI2	-7.5	9
M248	Hipparion hippidiodus	43		-7.0	13
M249	Hipparion hippidiodus	43	CHEEK	-6.8	15
M310	Hipparion coelophyes	43	IP4	-9.6	0
M3823	Hipparion dermatorhinum	43	IM3	-5.1	29
M251	Hipparion hippidiodus	43	CHEEK	-9.3	0
M7527	Chilotherium sp.	43	cheek	-8.5	0
M7529	Chilotherium sp.	43	cheek	-8.0	5
M7540	Chilotherium sp.	43		-7.6	9
M7532	Chilotherium sp.	43	cheek	-9.0	0
M7536	Chilotherium sp.	43	CHEEK	-9.5	0
M11264	Gazella cf. Gaudryi	49	rM3	-9.3	0
M11269	Gazella cf. Gaudryi	49	lm3	-10.6	0
M11270	Gazella cf. Gaudryi	49	rm3	-11.8	0
M11271	Gazella cf. Gaudryi	49	rM3	-10.4	0
M11275	Gazella cf. Gaudryi	49	lm3	-10.7	0
M11322	Gazella sp. paotehensis	49	rm3	-12.7	0
M11327	Gazella sp. paotehensis	49	IM3?	-11.9	0
M11328	Gazella sp. paotehensis	49	IM3	-9.0	0
M11331	Gazella sp. paotehensis	49	lm3	-10.5	0
M11473	Gazella cf. Dorcadoides	49	rM3	-8.7	0
M11480	Gazella cf. Dorcadoides	49	rM1	-7.5	9
M11809	Tragoreas lagrelii	49	CHEEK	-11.5	0
M11820	Tragoreas anderssoni	49	cheek	-10.3	0
	-				continued

M10543 Urniatherium intermedium 49 rp4 -7.5 9 M10546 Urniatherium intermedium 49 rN3 -6.9 14 M10555 Urniatherium intermedium 49 IN3 -9.8 00 M10557 Urniatherium intermedium 49 IN3 -9.8 00 M10573 Urniatherium intermedium 49 IN1 -9.3 0 M10576 Urniatherium intermedium 49 IN1 -9.3 0 M10576 Urniatherium intermedium 49 IN13 -7.1 12 M10573 Urniatherium intermedium 49 IN13 -9.6 0 M1044 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM1 -8.8 0 M144 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM2 -9.6 0 M992 Pilocervid 49 IM3 -9.1 0 M9790 Pilocervid 49 IM3 -9.7 0 M9799 Pilocervid	Sample ID	Taxon	Locality	Tooth	$\delta^{_{13}}\text{C}$ (PDB)	%C4
M10546 Urmitatherium intermedium 49 rN3 -6.9 14 M10555 Urmitatherium intermedium 49 IN3 -8.6 0 M10556 Urmitatherium intermedium 49 IN1 -9.3 0 M10573 Urmitatherium intermedium 49 IN1 -9.3 0 M10573 Urmitatherium intermedium 49 IN1 -9.3 0 M10576 Urmitatherium intermedium 49 IN1 -9.3 0 M1054 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 IN1 -9.4 0 M144 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 IN1 -8.9 0 M144 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 IN13 -9.1 0 M983 Pilocervid 49 IN13 -9.7 0 M9790 Pilocervid 49 IN13 -9.7 0 M9799 Pilocervid 49 IN13 -9.7 0 M9799 Pilocervid 49	M10543	Urmiatherium intermedium	49	rp4	-7.5	9
M10554 Urniktherium intermedium 49 -6.9 14 M10555 Urniktherium intermedium 49 IM3 -8.6 00 M10556 Urniktherium intermedium 49 IM3 -7.7 8 M10573 Urniktherium intermedium 49 IM3 -7.7 8 M10576 Urniktherium intermedium 49 IM3 -7.7 8 M10576 Urniktherium intermedium 49 IM3 -1.0 0 M1144 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM1 -8.9 0 M144 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM1 -8.9 0 M145 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM3 -9.8 0 M930 Pilocervid 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M9730 Pilocervid 49 IM3 -9.7 0 M9798 Pilocervid 49 IM3 -9.7 0 M9798 Pilocervid 49 IM3 -0.	M10546	Urmiatherium intermedium	49	rM3	-5.4	27
M10555 Urnitaherium intermedium 49 IN3 -9.8 0 M10556 Urnitaherium intermedium 49 IN1 -9.3 0 M10573 Urnitaherium intermedium 49 IN1 -9.3 0 M10573 Urnitaherium intermedium 49 IN3 -9.6 0 M10476 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 IN3 -10.0 0 M1441 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM2 -9.6 0 M1445 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM3 -9.1 0 M145 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM3 -9.8 0 M993 Pilocervid 49 rM3 -9.8 0 M9791 Pilocervid 49 rM3 -10.2 0 M9791 Pilocervid 49 rM3 -10.2 0 M9798 Pilocervid 49 rM3 -10.2 0 M9799 Pilocervid 49 lp2	M10554	Urmiatherium intermedium	49		-6.9	14
M10556 Urmitatherium intermedium 49 IM3 -8.6 0 M10573 Urmitatherium intermedium 49 IM1 -9.3 0 M10676 Urmitatherium intermedium 49 IM3 -7.1 12 M10476 Carvavitus novorossiae 49 IM3 -9.6 0 M9144 Carvavitus novorossiae 49 IM3 -9.6 0 M9145 Carvavitus novorossiae 49 IM3 -9.6 0 M9146 Carvavitus novorossiae 49 IM3 -9.1 0 M933 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M9790 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -9.7 0 M9793 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -9.7 0 M8798 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -10.2 0 M8793 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -10.7 0 M854 Hipparion Injocodus 49 Ip2	M10555	Urmiatherium intermedium	49	IM3	-9.8	0
M10557 Urmitatherium intermedium 49 M1 -9.7. 8 M10573 Urmitatherium intermedium 49 M3 -7.1 12 M9136 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 IM3 -7.1 12 M9143 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 IM3 -10.0 0 M9144 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 IM3 -10.0 0 M9145 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 IM3 -9.6 0 M9145 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 IM3 -9.6 0 M9146 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 IM3 -0.1 0 M929 Pilocervid 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M9791 Pilocervid 49 IM3 -10.2 0 M9799 Pilocervid 49 IM3 -10.2 0 M9799 Pilocervid 49 IM3 -10.7 0 M1741 Honanotherimschlosseri 49 IM2 <td>M10556</td> <td>Urmiatherium intermedium</td> <td>49</td> <td>IM3</td> <td>-8.6</td> <td>0</td>	M10556	Urmiatherium intermedium	49	IM3	-8.6	0
M10573 Urmiatherium intermedium 49 IM1 -9.3 0 M10676 Urmiatherium intermedium 49 IM3 -7.1 12 M9136 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 IM3 -9.6 0 M9144 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM2 -9.6 0 M9145 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM1 -8.9 0 M9303 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -9.8 0 M9790 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -9.8 0 M9791 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -9.8 0 M9798 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -10.2 0 M9780 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -10.2 0 M9780 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -10.2 0 M9780 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -10.7 0 M824 Hipparion placodus 49 lp2 -6.7 16	M10557	Urmiatherium intermedium	49		-7.7	8
M10576 Urmitatherium intermedium 49 IM3 -7.1 12 M9136 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 IM3 -9.6 0 M9143 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM1 -9.6 0 M9145 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM1 -8.9 0 M9146 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rm1 -10.9 0 M992 Pilocervid 49 Im3 -9.1 0 M970 Pilocervid 49 Im3 -9.8 0 M9791 Pilocervid 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M9793 Pilocervid 49 IM3 -10.7 0 M8793 Pilocervid 49 IM3 -10.7 0 M8793 Pilocervid 49 IM3 -10.7 0 M8793 Hipparion playodus 49 IdP2 -6.7 16 M824 Hipparion playodus 49 IdP2 -10.7 <td< td=""><td>M10573</td><td>Urmiatherium intermedium</td><td>49</td><td>IM1</td><td>-9.3</td><td>0</td></td<>	M10573	Urmiatherium intermedium	49	IM1	-9.3	0
M9136 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 Im3 -9.6 0 M9143 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM2 -9.6 0 M9144 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM1 -8.9 0 M9145 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM2 -11.2 0 M920 Pliocervid 49 Im3 -9.1 0 M9730 Pliocervid 49 Im3 -9.1 0 M9790 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M9798 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -9.7 0 M9799 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -10.7 0 M9802 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -10.7 0 M263 Hipparion plocdus 49 Ip2 -8.8 0 M1741 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IM2 -10.6 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IM3 -9.8	M10676	Urmiatherium intermedium	49	IM3	-7.1	12
M9143 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 IM3 -10.0 0 M9144 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM1 -8.9 0 M9145 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM1 -8.9 0 M9146 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM3 -10.9 0 M992 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -9.1 0 M9730 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -9.8 0 M9798 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -9.7 0 M9799 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -10.2 0 M8793 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -10.2 0 M8793 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -10.7 0 M256 Hipparion placudus 49 ld2 -10.7 0 M1741 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1745 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.8 <	M9136	Cervavitus novorossiae	49	lm3	-9.6	0
M9144 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM1 -9.6 0 M9145 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rm2 -11.2 0 M992 Pliocervid 49 lm3 -9.1 0 M993 Pliocervid 49 lm3 -9.1 0 M9790 Pliocervid 49 lm3 -9.1 0 M9798 Pliocervid 49 lM3 -9.7 0 M9799 Pliocervid 49 lM3 -10.2 0 M8602 Pliocervid 49 lM3 -10.7 0 M256 Hipparion plexodus 49 lp2 -8.8 0 M1741 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1742 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 lM3 -9.8 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 lM3 -10.2 0 M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 lm3 -10.6 <t< td=""><td>M9143</td><td>Cervavitus novorossiae</td><td>49</td><td>IM3</td><td>-10.0</td><td>0</td></t<>	M9143	Cervavitus novorossiae	49	IM3	-10.0	0
M9145 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rM1 -8.9 0 M9946 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 Im3 -10.9 0 M992 Pilocervid 49 Im3 -9.1 0 M9730 Pilocervid 49 Im3 -9.8 0 M9791 Pilocervid 49 Im3 -9.8 0 M9798 Pilocervid 49 Im3 -9.7 0 M9799 Pilocervid 49 Im3 -10.2 0 M8022 Pilocervid 49 Im3 -10.2 0 M256 Hipparion plocodus 49 Im3 -10.2 0 M1741 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 Im2 -6.7 16 M1741 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 Im3 -9.8 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 Im3 -9.8 0 M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 Im3 -10.0	M9144	Cervavitus novorossiae	49	rM2	-9.6	0
M9146 Cervavitus novorossiae 49 rm2 -11.2 0 M992 Pliocervid 49 Im3 -10.9 0 M9791 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -9.8 0 M9790 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M9798 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -10.2 0 M9799 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -10.2 0 M9802 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -10.7 0 M256 Hipparion plocodus 49 IM2 -6.7 16 M3824 Hipparion platycdus 49 IM2 -10.8 0 M1741 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IM3 -10.8 0 M1745 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IM3 -10.2 0 M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM3 -10.2 0 M11032 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm1 -11.6 <	M9145	Cervavitus novorossiae	49	rM1	-8.9	0
M992 Pliocervid 49 Im3 -10.9 0 M993 Pliocervid 49 Im3 -9.1 0 M9790 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M9791 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -9.7 0 M9798 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -9.7 0 M9802 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -9.7 0 M256 Hipparion hippidiodus 49 IM3 -10.2 0 M253 Hipparion placydus 49 Id22 -6.7 16 M1741 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M11032 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm1 -11.0 0	M9146	Cervavitus novorossiae	49	rm2	-11.2	0
M993 Pliocervid 49 Im3 -9.1 0 M9790 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M9791 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M9798 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -0.2 0 M9802 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -10.2 0 M2853 Hipparion hippidiodus 49 IdP2 -6.7 16 M3824 Hipparion placydus 49 IdP2 -6.7 16 M1741 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.7 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -9.8 0 M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M11032 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm1 -11.6 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -9.5	M992	Pliocervid	49	lm3	-10.9	0
M9790 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -9.8 0 M9791 Pliocervid 49 lM3 -9.7 0 M9792 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -10.2 0 M9802 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -10.2 0 M256 Hipparion hippidiodus 49 cheek -7.4 10 M253 Hipparion platyodus 49 lp2 -6.7 16 M3824 Hipparion platyodus 49 lp2 -6.7 16 M1741 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.7 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1745 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM3 -9.7 0 M11036 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm3 -10.5 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -10.5 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 <t< td=""><td>M993</td><td>Pliocervid</td><td>49</td><td>lm3</td><td>-9.1</td><td>0</td></t<>	M993	Pliocervid	49	lm3	-9.1	0
M9791 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M9798 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -9.7 0 M9799 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -10.2 0 M9802 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -10.7 0 M256 Hipparion hippidiofus 49 IdP2 -6.7 16 M3824 Hipparion plocodus 49 IdP2 -6.8 0 M1741 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM2? -9.8 0 M11032 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM2? -9.8 0 M11034 Paleotragus microdon 49 rM2 -11.0 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10267 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10268 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49	M9790	Pliocervid	49	rM3	-9.8	0
M9798 Pliocervid 49 IM3 9.7 0 M9799 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -10.2 0 M9802 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -10.2 0 M266 Hipparion plactodus 49 IdP2 -6.7 16 M3824 Hipparion platyodus 49 IdP2 -6.7 16 M1741 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.7 0 M1742 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.8 0 M1745 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM2 -10.2 0 M11034 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM2 -9.7 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -9.5 0 M10266 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49	M9791	Pliocervid	49	IM3	-9.8	0
M9799 Pliocervid 49 rM3 -10.2 0 M9802 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -10.7 0 M256 Hipparion hippidiodus 49 ldP2 -6.7 16 M3824 Hipparion plocodus 49 lp2 -8.8 0 M1741 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.7 0 M1742 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1745 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1745 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM2? -9.8 0 M11036 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehli	M9798	Pliocervid	49	IM3	-9.7	0
M9802 Pliocervid 49 IM3 -10.7 0 M256 Hipparion hippidiodus 49 cheek -7.4 10 M263 Hipparion placodus 49 ldP2 -8.8 0 M1741 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 lP2 -8.8 0 M1742 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M3 -9.8 0 M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M11034 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M11036 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm2 -9.7 0 M11036 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm3 -10.6 0 M10263 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -10.5 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10266 Chazella cf. gaudryi	M9799	Pliocervid	49	rM3	-10.2	0
M256 Hipparion hippidiodus 49 cheek -7.4 10 M263 Hipparion placodus 49 lp2 -6.7 16 M3824 Hipparion platyodus 49 lp2 -6.7 16 M1741 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.7 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 M2 -9.8 0 M11034 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm2 -9.7 0 M1036 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm1 -11.6 0 M10263 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -10.5 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10270 Chleuastochoerus stehl	M9802	Pliocervid	49	IM3	-10.7	0
M283 Hipparion playodus 49 IdP2 -6.7 16 M3824 Hipparion platyodus 49 M2 -10.7 0 M1741 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.8 0 M1742 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1745 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM3 -0.2 0 M11032 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM2? -9.8 0 M11036 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm1 -11.6 0 M10263 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 m3 -10.5 0 M10264 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10267 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M11185 Gazella cf. gaud	M256	Hipparion hippidiodus	49	cheek	-7.4	10
M3824 Hipparion platyodus 49 lp2 -8.8 0 M1741 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IP3 -10.7 0 M1742 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IP3 -10.8 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1745 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M11032 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM2 -10.2 0 M11036 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM2 -11.6 0 M10263 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -9.5 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10268 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 Im3 -10.7 0 M10268 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 Im3 -11.6 0 M10260 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 Im3 -10.7 0 M11185 <td>M263</td> <td>Hipparion plocodus</td> <td>49</td> <td>ldP2</td> <td>-6.7</td> <td>16</td>	M263	Hipparion plocodus	49	ldP2	-6.7	16
M1741 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.7 0 M1742 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IP3 -10.8 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1745 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M3 -9.8 0 M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M11032 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM2 -9.7 0 M11034 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm2 -9.7 0 M10263 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -10.5 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -10.5 0 M10266 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10267 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 Im3 -11.6 0 M11270 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -11.1 0 M11189 Gazella	M3824	Hipparion platyodus	49	lp2	-8.8	0
M1742 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IP3 -10.8 0 M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1745 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M11032 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM3 -9.7 0 M11034 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm2 -9.7 0 M11036 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm2 -11.0 0 M10263 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -10.5 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10267 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10270 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M11185 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -11.1 0 M11189 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.2 0 M11196	M1741	Honanotherium schlosseri	49	M2	-10.7	0
M1743 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 M2 -10.6 0 M1745 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM3 -10.2 0 M11032 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM2? -9.8 0 M11036 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm1 -11.6 0 M11036 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm2 -9.7 0 M10263 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -10.5 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10266 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10268 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 Im3 -10.7 0 M11185 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 IM3 -10.1 0 M11185 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11196 Gazella cf	M1742	Honanotherium schlosseri	49	IP3	-10.8	0
M1745 Honanotherium schlosseri 49 IM3 -9.8 0 M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 Im3 -10.2 0 M11032 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM2? -9.8 0 M11034 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm2 -9.7 0 M11036 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm1 -11.6 0 M10263 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -9.5 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10266 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10270 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 Im3 -10.7 0 M11185 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 IM3 -11.1 0 M11196 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11102 Gazella	M1743	Honanotherium schlosseri	49	M2	-10.6	0
M11031 Paleotragus microdon 49 Im3 -10.2 0 M11032 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM2? -9.8 0 M11034 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm2 -9.7 0 M11036 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm1 -11.6 0 M11037 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm3 -9.5 0 M10263 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -10.5 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10270 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -10.7 0 M11185 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 IM3 -10.0 0 M11189 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11197 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11197 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.2 0 M9444 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.5 0 M9445 Cervavitu	M1745	Honanotherium schlosseri	49	IM3	-9.8	0
M11032 Paleotragus microdon 49 IM2? -9.8 0 M11034 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm2 -9.7 0 M11036 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm1 -11.6 0 M10263 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -9.5 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -10.5 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10268 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10270 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -10.7 0 M11185 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 IM3 -10.0 0 M11185 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11190 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11197 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -10.2 0 M9444 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9445 <td< td=""><td>M11031</td><td>Paleotragus microdon</td><td>49</td><td>lm3</td><td>-10.2</td><td>0</td></td<>	M11031	Paleotragus microdon	49	lm3	-10.2	0
M11034 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm2 -9.7 0 M11036 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm1 -11.6 0 M10263 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rM2 -11.0 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -9.5 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -10.5 0 M10266 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10267 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10270 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.1 0 M11185 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -11.1 0 M11196 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11202 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -9.5 0 M9444 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -9.6 0 M9452 Cervavit	M11032	Paleotragus microdon	49	IM2?	-9.8	0
M11036 Paleotragus microdon 49 rm1 -11.6 0 M11037 Paleotragus microdon 49 rM2 -11.0 0 M10263 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -9.5 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -10.5 0 M10266 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10268 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10270 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -10.7 0 M11185 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -11.1 0 M11196 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11197 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.1 0 M11202 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -10.1 0 M9444 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -9.5 0 M9455 Cervavitus n	M11034	Paleotragus microdon	49	rm2	-9.7	0
M11037 Paleotragus microdon 49 rM2 -11.0 0 M10263 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -9.5 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10266 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10268 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10270 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -10.7 0 M11185 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -11.1 0 M11196 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -11.4 0 M11196 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11202 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -10.2 0 M9445 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9452 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M350 Hipparion pty	M11036	Paleotragus microdon	49	rm1	-11.6	0
M10263 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -9.5 0 M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 m3 -10.5 0 M10267 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10268 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10270 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -10.7 0 M11185 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 IM3 -10.0 0 M11189 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -11.1 0 M11196 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11192 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11202 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.1 0 M9444 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -9.5 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -9.4 0 M350 Hipparion ptych	M11037	Paleotragus microdon	49	rM2	-11.0	0
M10265 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 m3 -10.5 0 M10267 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10268 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10270 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 Im3 -10.7 0 M11185 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 IM3 -10.0 0 M11189 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -11.1 0 M11196 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11202 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -10.1 0 M9444 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9452 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9454 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M350 Hipparion ptychodu	M10263	Chleuastochoerus stehlini	49	rm3	-9.5	0
M10267 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10268 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10270 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 lm3 -10.7 0 M11185 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 lM3 -10.0 0 M11189 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -11.1 0 M11196 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm2 -11.8 0 M11196 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11202 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -10.2 0 M9444 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -9.8 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9457 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.4 0 M350 Hipparion ptychodus 73 rM3 -9.4 0 M352 Hipparion ptychodus	M10265	Chleuastochoerus stehlini	49	m3	-10.5	0
M10268 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 rm3 -11.6 0 M10270 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 lm3 -10.7 0 M11185 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 lM3 -10.0 0 M11189 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -11.1 0 M11196 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm2 -11.8 0 M11197 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11202 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -10.1 0 M9444 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -9.2 0 M9445 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9457 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -9.4 0 M350 Hipparion ptychodus 73 rP2 -7.8 0 M352 Hipparion ptychodus	M10267	Chleuastochoerus stehlini	49	rm3	-11.6	0
M10270 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 49 Im3 -10.7 0 M11185 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 IM3 -10.0 0 M11189 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -11.1 0 M11196 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm2 -11.8 0 M11197 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11202 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.1 0 M9444 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -10.2 0 M9445 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -8.9 0 M9452 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.5 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M350 Hipparion ptychodus 73 rP2 -7.8 0 M352 Hipparion ptychodus 73 IM2 -10.2 0 M10304 Chleuastochoerus stehlini	M10268	Chleuastochoerus stehlini	49	rm3	-11.6	0
M11185 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 IM3 -10.0 0 M11189 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -11.1 0 M11196 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm2 -11.8 0 M11197 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11202 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.1 0 M9444 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -10.2 0 M9445 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -8.9 0 M9452 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.5 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9457 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M350 Hipparion ptychodus 73 rP2 -7.8 0 M352 Hipparion ptychodus 73 Im2 -10.2 0 M10304 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.6 0 M10306 Chleuastochoerus stehli	M10270	Chleuastochoerus stehlini	49	lm3	-10.7	0
M11189 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -11.1 0 M11196 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm2 -11.8 0 M11197 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11202 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.1 0 M9444 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -10.2 0 M9445 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -8.9 0 M9452 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.5 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9457 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -9.4 0 M350 Hipparion ptychodus 73 rP2 -7.8 0 M10304 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -10.2 0 M10305 Chleuastochoerus stehlini	M11185	Gazella cf. gaudryi	73	IM3	-10.0	0
M11196 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm2 -11.8 0 M11197 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11202 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.1 0 M9444 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -10.2 0 M9445 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -8.9 0 M9452 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.5 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9457 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -9.4 0 M350 Hipparion ptychodus 73 rP2 -7.8 0 M352 Hipparion ptychodus 73 Im2 -10.2 0 M10304 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.6 0 M10306 Chleuastochoerus stehlini	M11189	Gazella cf. gaudryi	73	rМЗ	-11.1	0
M11197 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rm3 -10.4 0 M11202 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -10.1 0 M9444 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -10.2 0 M9445 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -8.9 0 M9452 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.5 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9457 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -9.4 0 M350 Hipparion ptychodus 73 rP2 -7.8 0 M352 Hipparion ptychodus 73 Im2 -10.2 0 M10304 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.6 0 M10305 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.7 0 M10306 Chleuastochoerus stehlini <td>M11196</td> <td>Gazella cf. gaudryi</td> <td>73</td> <td>rm2</td> <td>-11.8</td> <td>0</td>	M11196	Gazella cf. gaudryi	73	rm2	-11.8	0
M11202 Gazella cf. gaudryi 73 rM3 -10.1 0 M9444 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -10.2 0 M9445 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -10.2 0 M9452 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -8.9 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.5 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9457 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -9.4 0 M350 Hipparion ptychodus 73 rP2 -7.8 0 M352 Hipparion ptychodus 73 CHEEK -10.9 0 M354 Hipparion ptychodus 73 Im2 -10.2 0 M10304 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.6 0 M10306 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.0 0 M10307 Chleuastochoerus stehlini <td>M11197</td> <td>Gazella cf. gaudryi</td> <td>73</td> <td>rm3</td> <td>-10.4</td> <td>0</td>	M11197	Gazella cf. gaudryi	73	rm3	-10.4	0
M9444 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -10.2 0 M9445 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -8.9 0 M9452 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.5 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.5 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9457 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -9.4 0 M350 Hipparion ptychodus 73 rP2 -7.8 0 M352 Hipparion ptychodus 73 CHEEK -10.9 0 M354 Hipparion ptychodus 73 Im2 -10.2 0 M10304 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.6 0 M10306 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.7 0 M10307 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.0 0 M10309 Chleuastochoerus stehli	M11202	Gazella cf. gaudryi	73	rМЗ	-10.1	0
M9445 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -8.9 0 M9452 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.5 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9457 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -9.4 0 M350 Hipparion ptychodus 73 rP2 -7.8 0 M352 Hipparion ptychodus 73 CHEEK -10.9 0 M354 Hipparion ptychodus 73 Im2 -10.2 0 M10304 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.6 0 M10305 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.7 0 M10307 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.0 0 M10309 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -10.9 0	M9444	Cervavitus novorossiae	73	rМЗ	-10.2	0
M9452 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.5 0 M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9457 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -9.4 0 M350 Hipparion ptychodus 73 rP2 -7.8 0 M352 Hipparion ptychodus 73 CHEEK -10.9 0 M354 Hipparion ptychodus 73 Im2 -10.2 0 M10304 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.6 0 M10305 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.7 0 M10307 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.0 0 M10309 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.0 0	M9445	Cervavitus novorossiae	73	IM3	-8.9	0
M9455 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 IM3 -9.8 0 M9457 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -9.4 0 M350 Hipparion ptychodus 73 rP2 -7.8 0 M352 Hipparion ptychodus 73 CHEEK -10.9 0 M354 Hipparion ptychodus 73 CHEEK -10.8 0 M10304 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.6 0 M10305 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.7 0 M10307 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.0 0 M10309 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.0 0	M9452	Cervavitus novorossiae	73	IM3	-9.5	0
M9457 Cervavitus novorossiae 73 rM3 -9.4 0 M350 Hipparion ptychodus 73 rP2 -7.8 0 M352 Hipparion ptychodus 73 CHEEK -10.9 0 M354 Hipparion ptychodus 73 CHEEK -10.8 0 M10304 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.6 0 M10305 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.7 0 M10307 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.0 0 M10309 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.0 0	M9455	Cervavitus novorossiae	73	IM3	-9.8	0
M350 Hipparion ptychodus 73 rP2 -7.8 0 M352 Hipparion ptychodus 73 CHEEK -10.9 0 M354 Hipparion ptychodus 73 CHEEK -10.8 0 M10304 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -10.2 0 M10305 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.6 0 M10306 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.7 0 M10307 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.0 0 M10309 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -10.9 0	M9457	Cervavitus novorossiae	73	rM3	-9.4	0
M352 Hipparion ptychodus 73 CHEEK -10.9 0 M354 Hipparion ptychodus 73 -10.8 0 M10304 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -10.2 0 M10305 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.6 0 M10306 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.7 0 M10307 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.0 0 M10309 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -10.9 0	M350	Hipparion ptychodus	73	rP2	-7.8	0
M354 Hipparion ptychodus 73 -10.8 0 M10304 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -10.2 0 M10305 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.6 0 M10306 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.7 0 M10307 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.0 0 M10309 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -10.9 0	M352	Hipparion ptychodus	73	CHEEK	-10.9	0
M10304 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -10.2 0 M10305 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.6 0 M10306 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.7 0 M10307 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.0 0 M10309 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -10.9 0	M354	Hipparion ptvchodus	73		-10.8	0
M10305 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.6 0 M10306 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.7 0 M10307 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.0 0 M10309 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -10.9 0	M10304	Chleuastochoerus stehlini	73	lm2	-10.2	Ō
M10306 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im2 -11.7 0 M10307 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.0 0 M10309 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -10.9 0	M10305	Chleuastochoerus stehlini	73	lm2	-11.6	0
M10307 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -11.0 0 M10309 Chleuastochoerus stehlini 73 Im3 -10.9 0	M10306	Chleuastochoerus stehlini	73	lm2	-11.7	0
M10309 <i>Chleuastochoerus stehlini</i> 73 Im3 –10.9 0	M10307	Chleuastochoerus stehlini	73	lm3	-11.0	0 0
	M10309	Chleuastochoerus stehlini	73	lm3	-10.9	0

continued

Sample ID	Taxon	Locality	Tooth	$\delta^{_{13}}\text{C}$ (PDB)	%C4
M11292	Gazella sp. paotehensis	108	rm3	-9.0	0
M11293	Gazella sp. paotehensis	108	lm3	-5.7	24
M11294	Gazella sp. paotehensis	108	rm3	-10.3	0
M11321	Gazella sp. paotehensis	108	IM3	-8.4	1
M11796	Tragoreas lagrelii	108	lm3	-9.5	0
M10530	Urmiatherium intermedium	108	lm1	-9.5	0
M10540	Urmiatherium intermedium	108	rm2	-7.2	12
M10541	Urmiatherium intermedium	108	rm3	-8.3	2
M10686	Urmiatherium intermedium	108	IM3	-7.3	11
M355	Hipparion ptychodus	108	lp2	-8.5	1
M10987	Paleotragus microdon	108	lm3	-9.1	0
M10988	Paleotragus microdon	108	IM3	-9.2	0
M10997	Paleotragus microdon	108	rM3	-8.4	1
M10998	Paleotragus microdon	108	lm3	-11.2	0
M10995	Paleotragus microdon	108	IM3	-8.7	0
M7642	Chilotherium sp.	108	IM2	-10.1	0
M11309	Gazella sp. paotehensis	109	IM3	-9.3	0
M11335	Gazella sp. paotehensis	109	rm3	-9.4	0
M11460	Gazella cf. Dorcadoides	109	IM2	-8.8	0
M11462	Gazella cf. Dorcadoides	109	rM3	-7.8	7
M11468	Gazella cf. Dorcadoides	109	rM3	-8.4	2
M11524	Gazella cf. Dorcadoides	109	IM3	-9.2	0
M11526	Gazella cf. Dorcadoides	109	IM3	-7.9	6
M11824	Tragoreas anderssoni	109	rm3	-9.2	0
M9711	Cervavitus novorossiae	109	rm3	-10.6	0
M9713	Cervavitus novorossiae	109	rM3	-10.7	0
M9714	Cervavitus novorossiae	109	IM2	-10.1	0
M9716	Cervavitus novorossiae	109	lm2	-10.6	0
M11314	Gazella sp. paotehensis	114	rm3	-8.8	0
M11317	Gazella sp. paotehensis	114	rm3	-9.8	0
M11319	Gazella sp. paotehensis	114	lm3	-8.4	2
M11333	Gazella sp. paotehensis	114	IM3	-9.3	0
M11342	Gazella sp. paotehensis	114	IM3	-9.5	0
M10405	Plesiaddax depereti	114	lm3	-5.8	23
M10406	Plesiaddax depereti	114	lm3	-6.4	18
M10407	Plesiaddax depereti	114	lm3	-6.3	19
M10408	Plesiaddax depereti	114	lm3	-7.0	14
M10486	Plesiaddax depereti	114	rM3	-6.3	19
M10487	Plesiaddax depereti	114	IM3	-6.8	15
M10485	Plesiaddax depereti	114	rM3	-7.9	5
M329	Hipparion kreugeri	114	cheek	-8.2	3
M7667	Chilotherium sp	114	dlp3	-6.2	21
M7668	Chilotherium sp.	114	In:3	-8.6	0
M7669	Chilotherium sp.	114	rn2	-8.5	1
M7667–IM	Chilotherium sp.	114	In:3	-8.0	5
M317	Hipparion kreugeri	116	In2	_83	2
M318	Hipparion kreugeri	116	rp2	_10.9	0
M331	Hipparion kreugeri	116	In2	-8.5	1
M332	Hipparion kreugeri	116		_8.8	0
RD 251 V IC02	Hipparion	VIG03	m1 or m2	-0.0	2
BD 253 V ICO2	Hipparion	VICOS		-0.4	ے 11
BD 254 V IC02	Hipparion	VICOS	chook	_7.5	0
	Hipparion	VICO0	cheek	-0.0	1
BD 256 V IC02	Hipparion	VICOS		-0.9	14
	Hipparion	VICO0	I UHEEN	-0.0	0
DD.257.1JG03	Hipparion	rJG03	I	-1.5	9

continued

Sample ID	Taxon	Locality	Tooth	$\delta^{_{13}}\text{C}$ (PDB)	%C4
BD.259.YJG03	Hipparion	YJG03	IP2	-8.8	0
BD.262.YJG03	Hipparion	YJG03	rP4	-7.5	9
BD.265.YJG03	Hipparion	YJG03	rM1	-8.1	4
BD.266.YJG03	Hipparion	YJG03	lm3	-9.1	0
BD.268.YJG03	Hipparion	YJG03	rP4	-7.9	6
BD.269.YJG03	Hipparion	YJG03	rM3	-9.3	0
BD.270.YJG03	Hipparion	YJG03	r cheek	-8.2	3
BD.271.YJG03	Hipparion	YJG03	r cheek	-7.7	7
BD.273.YJG03	Hipparion	YJG03	CHEEK	-9.0	0
BD.274.YJG03	Hipparion	YJG03	IP	-8.4	2
BD.275.YJG03	Hipparion	YJG03	cheek	-6.9	14
BD.277.YJG03	Hipparion	YJG03	IP3	-8.0	5
BD.280.YJG03	Hipparion	YJG03	rm1	-8.8	0
BD.281.YJG03	Hipparion	YJG03	IM2	-8.7	0
BD.250.YJG03	Samotherium	YJG03	lm3	-7.3	11
BD.260.YJG03	Samotherium	YJG03	Р	-7.3	11
BD.261.YJG03	Samotherium	YJG03	Р	-7.4	10
BD.263.YJG03	Samotherium	YJG03	rdP4	-8.1	4
BD.264.YJG03	Samotherium	YJG03	Р	-7.4	10
BD.272.YJG03	Samotherium	YJG03	IM	-7.6	9
BD.278.YJG03	Samotherium	YJG03	Р	-6.8	15

locality 30: G. paotehense had a pure C3 diet, while G. dorcadoides had a component of C4. In contrast, at the older locality 49, gazelles showed consistent browser diet with pure C3 signal, with the exception of one G. dorcadoides individual showing a minor (< 10%) C4 component in its diet. Similar to gazelles, the hipparion mesowear results were also consistent with isotope results: Isotope data from locality 108 and mesowear results from locality 44 suggested browsing with C3 dominated diet, while localities 49 and 43 showed more mixed isotope signal. On the other hand, hipparions at locality 30 showed much more clearly grazer-dominated diet according to mesowear results, while isotope results varied more.

Chilotherium data were interesting: the mesowear signal suggested that it was a grazer at locality 43, but then changed to browsing at locality 30. Isotope values from these localities overlap, so they are hard to interpret. With *Urmiatherium* the situation was similar to hipparions: the intermediate locality 108 shows a more browser-dominated/C3 dominated signal than localities 49 or 43, while locality 30 was clearly grazer-dominated with a C4 component.

Samotherium at locality 30 had a high C4

component and groups with grazers (by mesowear), but isotopically there was no change between older (YJG-03) and younger (locality 30) localities. *Paleotragus* mesowear was quite consistent with isotopes: it was a mixed feeder or browser at older localities (49 and 108) with a pure C3 diet, and at locality 30 it was a grazer with a C4 component. *Tragoreas* isotopes showed that at localities 108 and 49 their diet was more browse dominated than at localities 43 or 30.

Discussion and conclusions

According to our results, it seems that the youngest locality 30 had a much harsher (more arid conditions with more abrasive food resources for herbivores) environment than the rest of the localities, confirming earlier results (Liu *et al.* 2008, Kaakinen *et al.* 2013). Our precipitation estimates suggested that the oldest locality 49 differed considerably from other old and intermediate localities, due to its more humid conditions. In contrast, locality 30 had much less precipitation than any other locality analysed here. Since precipitation in this region is primarFig. 3. Carbon isotope and mesowear data for Baodean herbivores. Numbers in boldface indicate "dorcadoides" localities, in regular face mixed localities, and in italics "gaudryi" localities. In b, mesowear cusp relief is indicated by the fraction of specimens exhibiting high relief; this completely describes cusp relief because cusps can only be high or low. In c, mesowear cusp shape is described by the fraction of individuals with sharp cusps. Because very few individuals have blunt cusps, this plot describes cusp shape mainly as sharp versus round. 'Number' refers to the number of individuals examined for mesowear.



minimum

Transitional tooth crown heights: \Box brachydont to mesodont, \diamondsuit mesodont to hypsodont

ily governed by the East Asian summer monsoon strength, the difference in the estimated precipitation between the old (e.g., 49) and young (e.g., 30) localities implies a significant decrease in the East Asian summer monsoon strength during 7–5.7 Ma in the Baode region. The many monsoon proxy records used to interpret East Asian summer monsoon changes over 7–5 Ma offer contradictory conclusions. While some evidence suggests a general strengthening trend of the East Asian summer monsoon during 7–5 Ma (e.g., Ding *et al.* 1999, An *et al.* 2001, Jia *et al.* 2003, Sun *et al.* 2010), other evidence points to a declining East Asian summer monsoon (Ma *et al.* 1998, Wan *et al.* 2006) or no change during this period (Jiang & Ding 2008). Our results suggested a weakening of the East Asian summer monsoon in the Baode region, which may have resulted from global cooling and the emergence of the northern hemispheric ice sheet (Passey *et*

al. 2009). In addition, a recent climate model study implicates growth of the Zagros Mountains possibly contributing to the decline of the East Asian summer monsoon, therefore leading to the decrease of precipitation over the Baode region (Tang *et al.* 2013).

Our synthesized data also shed light on the dynamics of the change from the more mesic conditions (represented by the oldest locality 49) to the harsh conditions (represented by the youngest locality 30) in the Baode region. Although the intermediate localities (44 and 108) had more browse-dominated faunas than the older localities in our analyses, they seemed to show highly variable conditions in both dietary adaptations (as shown by mesowear and isotopes) as well as environmental conditions (as shown by hypsodonty precipitation proxy estimates) as compared with the oldest and youngest localities. As all of the studied localities were situated within a few kilometres of each other, the differences in topography and sedimentary environment for fossil preservation were small (see the discussion above in the description of the study area), and therefore cannot explain the more variable conditions in the intermediate localities. As the Baode region is situated in the middle of the monsoon transition zone (see Passey et al. 2009), we argue that the more variable conditions manifested in the fossil records of the intermediate localities might reflect the nature of the transitional climate from humid (strong monsoon) to dry (weak monsoon) conditions. For instance, vegetation in this transition period might be more mosaic. Patches of C3 forest and C4 grass coexisted on the landscape, and thus were recorded by mammal species with different diet preferences. It is equally possible that, during the transition period, monsoon climate in Baode might exhibited larger temporal variability on different time scales, which were recorded by our fossil records. Climate model studies on the Asian monsoon climate have mostly focused on the early Late Miocene (11-7 Ma) (Micheels et al. 2011, Tang et al. 2011) and the mid-Pliocene (around 4 Ma) (Zhang et al. 2013a). However, there are few climate model studies on the Asian monsoon climate changes at 7-5 Ma (i.e., late Late Miocene-Early Pliocene), which could be a future study topic in order to better understand

the climate processes underlying the changes shown in our fossil records.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mikael for taking us on a fascinating academic journey - it has been exciting, new, and never dull, and most importantly - fun. We also thank Mikael for his support and guidance through various stages of our careers, and we hope this is just the beginning. Larry Flynn, Pirkko Ukkonen and Suvi Viranta-Kovanen gave helpful and constructive comments. Additionally, we want to acknowledge all the financial support that the Fenno-American-Chinese work in North China has received during many years. These include: the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB03020501) and the national Basic Research Program of China (2012CB821900), Academy of Finland, the Ella & Georg Ehrnrooth Foundation, the Finnish Cultural Foundation, the Emil Aaltonen Foundation, National Geographic Society, and the U.S. National Science Foundation.

References

- An, Z. S., Kutzbach, J. E., Prell, W. L. & Porter, S. C. 2001: Evolution of Asian monsoons and phased uplift of the Himalayan Tibetan plateau since Late Miocene times. — *Nature* 411: 62–66.
- Anderson, J. G. 1923: Essays on the Cenozoic of northern China. – Geological Survey of China Memoir, Series A 3: 1–152.
- Ding, Z. L., Xiong, S. F., Sun, J. M., Yang, S. L., Gu, Z. Y. & Liu, T. S. 1999: Pedostratigraphy and paleomagnetism of a 7.0 Ma eolian loess-red clay sequence at Lingtai, Loess Plateau, north-central China and the implications for paleomonsoon evolution. — *Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology* 152: 49–66.
- Eronen, J. T., Puolamäki, K., Liu, L., Lintulaakso, K., Damuth, J., Janis, C. & Fortelius, M. 2010: Precipitation and large herbivorous mammals, part I: Estimates from present-day communities. — *Evolutionary Ecology Research* 12: 217–233.
- Fortelius, M. & Solounias, N. 2000: Functional characterization of ungulate molars using the abrasion-attrition wear gradient: a new method for reconstructing paleodiets. — *American Museum Novitates* 3301: 1–36.
- Fortelius, M., Eronen, J. T., Jernvall, J., Liu, L. P., Pushkina, D., Rinne, J., Tesakov, A., Vislobokova, I., Zhang, Z. Q. & Zhou, L. P. 2002: Fossil mammals resolve regional patterns of Eurasian climate change over 20 million years. – Evolutionary Ecology Research 4: 1005–1016.
- Guo, Z. T., Ruddiman, W. F., Hao, Q. Z., Wu, H. B., Qiao, Y. S., Zhu, R. X., Peng, S. Z., Wei, J. J., Yuan, B. Y. & Liu, T. S. 2002: Onset of Asian desertification by 22 Myr ago inferred from loess deposits in China. — *Nature* 416: 159–163.

- Jia, G. D., Peng, P. A., Zhao, Q. H. & Jian, Z. M. 2003: Changes in terrestrial ecosystem since 30 Ma in East Asia: stable isotope evidence from black carbon in the South China Sea. – *Geology* 31: 1093–1096.
- Jiang, H. C. & Ding, Z. L. 2008: A 20 Ma pollen record of East-Asian summer monsoon evolution from Guyuan, Ningxia, China. – *Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology* 265: 30–38
- Kaakinen, A. & Lunkka, J. P. 2003: Sedimentation of the Late Miocene Bahe Formation and its implications for stable environments adjacent to Qinling Mountains in Shaanxi, China. — *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* 22: 67–78.
- Kaakinen, A. 2005: A long terrestrial sequence in Lantian – a window into the late Neogene palaeoenviornments of northern China. – Ph.D. thesis, Department of Geology, University of Helsinki.
- Kaakinen, A., Passey, B. H., Zhang, Z., Liu, L., Pesonen, L. J. & Fortelius, M. 2013: Stratigraphy and paleoecology of the classical dragon bone localities of Baode County, Shanxi Province. In: Wang, X. M., Fortelius, M. & Flynn, L. (eds.), *Fossil mammals of Asia: Neogene biostratigraphy and chronology*: 203–217. Columbia University Press, New York.
- Kurtén, B. 1952: The Chinese Hipparion fauna. Commentationes Biological, Societas Scientiarum Fennica 13: 1–82.
- Kurtén, B. 1953: On the variation and population dynamics of fossil and recent mammal populations. — Acta Zoologica Fennica 76: 1–122.
- Kurtén, B. 1985: Thalassictus wongii (Mammalia, Hyaenidae) and related forms from China and Europe. – Bulletin of the Geological Institutions of the University of Uppsala 11: 79–90.
- Li, C., Wu, W. & Qiu, Z. 1984: Chinese Neogene: Subdivision and correlation. *Vertebrata PalAsiatica* 22: 163–178. [In Chinese with English summary].
- Liu, D., Li, C. & Zhai, R. 1978: [Pliocene vertebrates from Lantian, Shensi: Tertiary mammalian fauna of the Lantian District, Shensi]. *Professional Papers of Stratigraphy and Paleontology* 7: 149–200. [In Chinese].
- Liu, L., Zhang, Z., Cui, N. & Fortelius, M. 2008: The Dipodidae (Jerboas) from Loc.30 and their environmental significance. – Vertebrata PalAsiatica 46: 125–132.
- Liu, L., Puolamäki, K. Eronen, J. T., Mirzaie, M., Hernesniemi, E. & Fortelius, M. 2012: Estimating net primary productivity from dental traits. — *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 279: 2793–2799.
- Lu, H., Vandenberghe, J. & An, Z. 2001: Aeolian origin and palaeoclimatic implications of the 'Red Clay' (north China) as evidenced by grain-size distribution. — Journal of Quaternary Science 16: 89–97.
- Ma, Y. Z., Li, J. J. & Fang, X. M. 1998: Pollen assemblage in 30.6–5.0 Ma redbeds of Linxia region and climate evolution. — *Chinese Science Bulletin* 43: 301–304.
- Micheels, A., Bruch, A. A., Eronen, J. T., Fortelius, M., Harzhauser, M., Utescher, T. & Mosbrugger, V. 2011: Analysis of heat transport mechanisms from a Late Miocene model experiment with a fully-coupled atmosphereocean general circulation model. — *Palaeogeography*

Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology 304: 337–350.

- Pan, B., Hu, Z., Wang, J., Vandenberghe, J. & Hu, X. 2011: A magnetostratigraphic record of landscape development in the eastern Ordos Plateau, China: Transition from the Late Miocene and Early Pliocene stacked sedimentation to the Late Pliocene and Quaternary uplift and incision by the Yellow River. — *Geomorphology* 125: 225–238.
- Passey, B. H. 2007: Stable isotope paleoecology: Methodological advances and applications to the late Neogene environmental history of China. — Ph.D. thesis, University of Utah.
- Passey, B. H., Eronen, J. T., Fortelius, M. & Zhang, Z. Q. 2007: Paleodiets and paleoenvironments of late Miocene gazelles from North China: Evidence from stable carbon isotopes. – Vertebrata PalAsiatica 45: 118–127.
- Passey, B. H., Ayliffe, L. K., Kaakinen, A., Zhang, Z., Eronen, J. T., Zhu, Y., Zhou, L., Cerling, T. E. & Fortelius, M. 2009: Strengthened East Asian summer monsoons during a period of high-latitude warmth? Isotopic evidence from Mio-Pliocene fossil mammals and soil carbonates from northern China. — *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 277: 443–452.
- Qiu, Z. & Qiu, Z. 1995: Chronological sequence and subdivision of Chinese Neogene mammalian faunas. – Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology 166: 41–70.
- Schlosser, M. 1903: Die fossilen Säugethiere Chinas nebst einer Odontographie der recenten Antilopen. – Abhandlungen der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften 22: 1–221.
- Sukselainen, L. 2008: The Late Miocene climate in northern Loess Plateau as recorded by magnetic susceptibility and grain size of the Red Clay from Baode, Shanxi Province, China. — M.Sc. thesis, University of Helsinki.
- Sun, Y., An, Z., Clemens, S. C., Bloemendal, J. & Vandenberghe, J. 2010: Seven million years of wind and precipitation variability on the Chinese Loess Plateau. – Earth and Planetary Science Letters 297: 525–535.
- Tang, H. 2013: The spatio-temporal evolution of the Asian monsoon climate in the Late Miocene and its causes: A regional climate model study. — Ph.D. thesis, University of Helsinki.
- Tang, H., Micheels, A., Eronen, J. T. & Fortelius, M. 2011: Regional climate model experiments to investigate the Asian monsoon in the Late Miocene. — *Climate of the Past* 7: 847–868.
- Tang, H., Micheels, A., Eronen, J. T., Ahrens, B. & Fortelius, M. 2013: Asynchronous responses of East Asian and Indian summer monsoons to mountain uplift shown by regional climate modelling experiments. — *Climate Dynamics* 40: 1531–1549.
- Wan, S. M., Li, A. C., Clift, P. D. & Jiang, H. Y. 2006: Development of the East Asian summer monsoon: Evidence from the sediment record in the South China Sea since 8.5 Ma. – Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology 241: 139–159.
- Zdansky, O. 1923: Fundorte der Hipparion-Fauna um Pao-Te-Hsien in NW-Shansi. — Bulletin of the Geological Survey of China 5: 69–81.
- Zhang, R., Yan, Q., Zhang, Z. S., Jiang, D., Otto-Bliesner, B.

L., Haywood, A. M., Hill, D. J., Dolan, A. M., Stepanek, C., Lohmann, G., Contoux, C., Bragg, F., Chan, W.-L., Chandler, M. A., Jost, A., Kamae, Y., Abe-Ouchi, A., Ramstein, G., Rosenbloom, N. A., Sohl, L. & Ueda, H. 2013a: East Asian monsoon climate simulated in the PlioMIP. — *Climate of the Past* 9: 2085–2099.

Zhang, Z., Kaakinen, A., Liu L., Lunkka, J. P., Sen, S., Qiu Z., Zheng, S. & Fortelius, M. 2013b: Mammalian Biochronology of the Late Miocene Bahe Formation. — In: Wang, X. M., Fortelius, M. & Flynn, L. (eds.), *Fossil mammals of Asia: Neogene biostratigraphy and chronology*: 187–202. Columbia University Press, New York.

- Zhang, Z., Gentry, A., Kaakinen, A., Liu, L., Lunkka, J. P., Qiu, Z., Sen, S., Scott, R. S., Werdelin, L., Zheng, S. & Fortelius, M. 2002: Land mammal faunal sequence of the late Miocene of China: new evidence from Lantian, Shaanxi Province. — *Vertebrata PalAsiatica* 40: 165–176.
- Zhu, Y., Zhou, L., Mo, D., Kaakinen, A., Zhang, Z. & Fortelius, M. 2008: A new magnetostratigraphic framework for late Neogene Hipparion Red Clay in the eastern Loess Plateau of China. — *Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology* 268: 47–57.